## <u>In the Matter of Edgar R. Page, et al.</u>, Admin. Proc. File No. 3-16037 Division of Enforcements Objections to Respondents' List of Exhibits and Witnesses

Respondents' Proposed Exhibits		
Resp.	Objection(s) <sup>1</sup>	
Ex. No.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
1.	Relevance	
2.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3.	No objection	
4.	No objection	
5.	No objection	
	No objection	
6.	No objection	
7.	No objection	
8.	No objection	
9.	Authenticity	
10.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
11.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
12.	No objection	
13.	No objection	
14.	No objection	
15.	No objection	
16.	No objection	
17.	Authenticity <sup>2</sup>	
18.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
19.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
20.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
21.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
22.	No objection	
23.	No objection	
24.	No objection	
25.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
26.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
27.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
28.	No objection	
29.	No objection	
30.	No objection	
31.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
	Incomplete	



The Division of Enforcement reserves its right to interpose hearsay and foundation objections—for example pursuant to Fed. R.'s Evid. 602 and 802—depending on Respondents' attempted use of exhibits at trial.

Pages PG00002661-62 appear to be part of a separate document.

32.	Reliance on advice of counsel		
33.			
34.	No objection		
	No objection		
35.	Reliance on advice of counsel		
36.	Reliance on advice of counsel		
37.	Reliance on advice of counsel		
38.	Relevance		
39.	No objection		
40.	No objection		
41.	No objection		
42.	No objection		
43.	No objection		
44.	No objection		
45.	No objection		
46.	Relevance		
47.	Relevance		
48.	Relevance		
49.	No objection		
50.	Reliance on advice of counsel		
51.	Relevance		
52.	Relevance		
	Reliance on advice of counsel		
53.	Relevance		
54.	Relevance		
55.	Relevance		
	Reliance on advice of counsel		
56.	Relevance		
	Reliance on advice of counsel		
57.	Relevance		
58.	Relevance		
	Reliance on advice of counsel		
59.	Relevance		
60.	Relevance		
61.	Relevance		
62.	Relevance		
63.	Relevance		
64.	Relevance		
65.	Relevance		
66.	Relevance		
67.	Relevance		
68.	Relevance		
69.	Relevance		
70.	Relevance		
71.	Relevance		
72.	Relevance		

73.	Deleveres	
	Relevance	
74.	No objection	
75.	No objection	
76.	Relevance	
77.	Relevance	
78.	Authenticity <sup>3</sup>	
	Relevance	
79.	Relevance	
80.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
81.	Relevance	
82.	No objection	
83.	No objection	
84.	No objection	
85.	No objection	
86.	No objection	
87.	Relevance	
88.	Relevance	
89.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
90.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
91.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
92.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
93.	Reliance on advice of counsel	
94.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
95.	Relevance	
96.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
97.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
98.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
	Incomplete	
99.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
100.	Relevance	
	Reliance on advice of NRS	
101.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
102.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
103.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
104.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
	Reliance on advice of Counsel	
105.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
	Reliance on advice of Counsel	
106.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
107.	Reliance on advice of NRS	
108.	No objection	
109.	No objection	
110.	Incomplete	

Appears to be multiple documents together

	Reliance on Advice of Counsel		
111.	Reliance on Advice of Counsel		
112.	No objection		
113.	Reliance on advice of NRS		
114.	Reliance on advice of NRS		
115.	Reliance on advice of NRS		
116.	No objection		
117.	Hearsay		
	Relevance		
	Foundation		
118.	No objection		
119.	No objection		
120.	No objection		
121.	No objection		
122.	No objection		
123.	No objection		
124.	No objection		
125.	No objection		
126.	No objection		
127.	No objection		
128.	No objection		
129.	No objection		
130.	No objection		
131.	No objection		
132.	No objection		
133.	No objection		
134.	No objection		
135.	No objection		
136.	No objection		
137.	No objection		
138.	No objection		
139.	No objection		
140.	No objection		
141.	No objection		
142.	No objection		
143.	No objection		
144.	No objection		
145.	Reliance on Advice of Counsel		
	Redacted		
146.	Incomplete <sup>4</sup>		
147.	No objection		
148.	Relevance		
149.	No objection		

<sup>4</sup> Attachments not included

150	NT   1 * .*		
150.	No objection		
151.	No objection		
152.	[Reserved]		
153.	No objection		
154.	Reliance on advice of NRS		
155.	Reliance on advice of NRS		
156.	No objection		
157.	Reliance on advice of Counsel		
	Redacted		
158.	No objection		
159.	No objection		
160.	No objection		
161.	No objection		
162.	No objection		
163.	No objection		
164.	No objection		
165.	No objection		
166.	No objection		
167.	No objection		
168.	No objection		
169.	No objection <sup>5</sup>		
170.	No objection		
171.	No objection		
172.	No objection		
173.	No objection		
174.	No objection		
175.	No objection		
176.	No objection		
177.	No objection		
178.	No objection		
179.	No objection		
180.	No objection		
181.	No objection		
182.	No objection		
183.	No objection		
184.	No objection		
185.	No objection		
186.	No objection		
187.	No objection		
188.	No objection		
189.	No objection		

List on Exhibit List, Dec. 22, 2014, as "Account transaction documents". However, the document respondents marked and provided is a letter from Edgar Page to the SEC Staff, Sept. 30, 2011. The Division does not object to the produced marked document No. 169.

190.	No objection	
191.	No objection	
192.	No objection	
193.	No objection	
194.	No objection	
195.	No objection	
196.	No objection	
197.	No objection	

Respondents' Proposed Witnesses			
	Witness	Objection	
1.	Arena, Joseph	None	
2.	Axelrod, Kieth	Relevance	
3.	Benson, Bill	None	
4.	D'Angelo, Gordon	Relevance	
5.	Del Guidice, Michael	None	
6.	Harrison, Brian	None	
7.	Healy, Frank	None	
8.	Page, Edgar	None	
9.	Peterson, John	None	
10.	Stier, Ira	Relevance	
11.	Thel, Steven	Subject of motion in limine No. 2	
12.	Xifaras, Michael	Reliance on advice of NRS	
		Subject of motion in limine No. 1	